



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/047,726		01/15/2002	Alok Nigam	HO-P01709US6	7577	
26271	7590	10/06/2003		EXAM	INER	
		WORSKI, LLP	PHAN,	PHAN, HIEU		
1301 MCKIN SUITE 5100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
HOUSTON,	TX 770	110-3095	3738	9		
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003	3 <del> </del>		
					/ _	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						2			
			Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
	•		10/047,72	<u>?</u> 6	NIGAM, ALOK				
	' Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
•/			Hieu Pha	n	3738				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication	appears on the	cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	of 37 CF nunication 0) days, a atutory per will, by s	DN. R 1.136(a). In no even. r. a reply within the state or will apply and witatute, cause the app	ent, however, may utory minimum of t ill expire SIX (6) M lication to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time IONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on	<u>02 July 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b)	This action is	non-final.					
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in conditio closed in accordance with the praction of Claims					he merits is			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the	applica	ation.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a			nsideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction a	nd/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers								
, —	The specification is objected to by th								
10) 🔲 .	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)[	The proposed drawing correction file				disapproved by the Exami	ner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are re	•	• •	fice action.					
,—	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the	e Examiner.						
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
· ·	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	tor to	reign priority ur	ider 35 U.S.(	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (t).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority								
	2. Certified copies of the priority					LOVA			
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Intersection attached detailed Office actions.	nationa	ıl Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)	)).	ıı Stage			
14)[] <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	or don	nestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.	.C. § 119(e) (to a provision	al application).			
	)  The translation of the foreign la Acknowledgment is made of a claim	-	•	=					
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F				ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper N of Informal Patent Application (P				

Application/Control Number: 10/047,726

Art Unit: 3738

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 2. Claims 1-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barrett et al. (U.S. Patent 5,336,261).

Barrett et al. disclose a corneal inlay lens having a body form from a material with an index of refraction substantially the same as that of corneal tissue; the body having two surface and joining each other at the periphery of the lens; and the thickness of the edge being less than about 15 micrometers as is claimed (figure 1B and 2A, column 4 lines 55-68 and column 6 lines 1-14, 47-53).

Please note that the invention of Barrett et al. does not teach away from using materials with low refractive index but teach that by reducing the size of the corneal inlay, various materials can be used without adverse side effects cause by using larger lenses.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 07/02/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In regard to claim 1, applicant argues that Barrett does not disclose the limitation," material having an index of refraction substantially the same as the corneal tissue". Examiner disagrees because Barrett disclose in column 6, lines 59 and 60 that

Application/Control Number: 10/047,726

Art Unit: 3738

the material that was use had an index refraction of 1.42 to 1.43. The index refraction of 1.42 to 1.43 is clearly "substantially" the same as the index refraction of the corneal tissue. Therefore the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barrett et al. (U.S. Patent 5,336,261) is proper.

## Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hieu Phan whose telephone number is 703-308-8969. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine M McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3738

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0873.

Hieu Phan Examiner Art Unit 3738

CORRINE McDERMOTT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700